

APPENDIX ONE: *Proofs of Theorems*

Any smooth feasible policy satisfies the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 1: For $y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in C^1$ we have

$$(a) \quad Y'_\alpha H_x = 0_{km}, \quad (\beta) \quad Y'_\beta H_x + H_\beta = 0_{lm} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(\gamma) \quad Y'_\gamma H_x = I_{mm}.$$

proof: obvious, by differentiating $h^j(y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), \beta) = \gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, m$, with respect to α, β , or γ and forming the matrices.

Lemma 2: For $y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in C^2$ we have:

$$(\alpha, \alpha) \quad Y'_\alpha H_{xx} Y_\alpha + [y'_{\alpha_i \alpha_j} H_x \lambda] = 0_{\kappa\kappa},$$

$$(\alpha, \beta) \quad Y'_\alpha H_{xx} Y_\beta + Y'_\alpha H_{x\beta} + [y'_{\alpha_j \beta_j} H_x \lambda] = 0_{\kappa l},$$

$$(\alpha, \gamma) \quad Y'_\alpha H_{xx} Y_\gamma + [y'_{\alpha_i \gamma_j} H_x \lambda] = 0_{\kappa m},$$

$$(\beta, \alpha) \quad Y'_\beta H_{xx} Y_\alpha + [y'_{\beta_i \alpha_j} H_x \lambda] + H_{\beta x} Y_\alpha = 0_{l\kappa},$$

$$(\beta, \beta) \quad Y'_\beta H_{xx} Y_\beta + Y'_\beta H_{x\beta} + [y'_{\beta_i \beta_j} H_x \lambda] + H_{\beta x} Y_\beta + H_{\beta\beta} = 0_{ll},$$

$$(\beta, \gamma) \quad Y'_\beta H_{xx} Y_\gamma + [y'_{\beta_i \gamma_j} H_x \lambda] + H_{\beta x} Y_\gamma = 0_{lm},$$

$$(\gamma, \alpha) \quad Y'_\gamma H_{xx} Y_\alpha + [y'_{\gamma_i \alpha_j} H_x \lambda] = 0_{m\kappa},$$

$$(\gamma, \beta) \quad Y'_\gamma H_{xx} Y_\beta + Y'_\gamma H_{x\beta} + [y'_{\gamma_i \beta_j} H_x \lambda] = 0_{ml},$$

$$(\gamma, \gamma) \quad Y'_\gamma H_{xx} Y_\gamma + [y'_{\gamma_i \gamma_j} H_x \lambda] = 0_{mm}.$$

Each matrix within the brackets has elements consisting of inner products of vectors like e.g.

$$y'_{\alpha_i \alpha_j} = (y^1_{\alpha_i \alpha_j}, \dots, y^n_{\alpha_i \alpha_j}) \quad \text{and} \quad H_x \lambda$$

proof: We differentiate $Y'_\alpha h_x^j = 0_\kappa, Y'_\beta h_x^j = 0_l$ and $Y'_\gamma h_x^j = 0_m, j = 1, \dots, m$, with respect to α, β , or γ . Thus e.g. we get

$$Y'_\alpha h_{xx}^j Y_\alpha + [y'_{\alpha_i \alpha_j} h_x^j] = 0_{\kappa\kappa}, j = 1, \dots, m, \text{ which we multiply by } \lambda_j \text{ and add over } j.$$

Thus we obtain

$$Y'_\alpha H_{xx} Y_\alpha + [y'_{\alpha_i \alpha_j} H_x \lambda] = 0_{\kappa\kappa}.$$

The multiplication by λ has no other purpose but to present the second-order derivative properties of feasible paths in a way immediately usable in further proofs.

Theorem 1 is well known. We give here its direct proof which requires only three lines

$$(\alpha) \quad \varphi_\alpha = X'_\alpha f_x + f_a = X'_\alpha H_x \lambda + f_\alpha = f_\alpha,$$

$$(\beta) \quad \varphi_\beta = X'_\beta f_x = X'_\beta H_x \lambda = -H_\beta \lambda,$$

$$(\gamma) \quad \varphi_\gamma = X'_\gamma f_x = X'_\gamma H_x \lambda = \lambda.$$

In all of these, the second equality uses the f-o-c of problem (I) while the third results from *Lemma 1*.

Theorem 2 is easily proved. Using the last expression for $(\alpha), (\beta),$ or (γ) , we differentiate $\varphi_\alpha, \varphi_\beta$ and φ_γ with respect to $\alpha, \beta,$ or γ .

If we used, instead, the expressions for $\varphi_\alpha, \varphi_\beta,$ or φ_γ after the second equality, then we would have e.g.

$$(\alpha, \alpha) \quad \Phi_{\alpha\alpha} = X'_\alpha F_{xx} X_\alpha + X'_\alpha F_{x\alpha} + [x'_{\alpha_i \alpha_j} f_x] + F_{\alpha x} X_\alpha + F_{\alpha\alpha}$$

and subtracting the corresponding term that appears in *Lemma 2*, we get

$$(\alpha, \alpha) \quad \Phi_{\alpha\alpha} = X'_\alpha A X_\alpha + X'_\alpha F_{x\alpha} + [x'_{\alpha_i \alpha_j} (f_x - H_x \lambda)] + F_{\alpha x} X_\alpha + F_{\alpha\alpha}$$

Thus we see, using again the f-o-c of (I), that the matrix in brackets is a zero matrix. Finally, comparing $\Phi_{\alpha\alpha}$ as given above and in *Theorem 2* we see that the optimal policy must satisfy the equalities

$$(\alpha,\alpha) \quad X'_\alpha AX_\alpha + X'_\alpha F_{x\alpha} = 0_{\kappa\kappa}.$$

Following exactly the same steps, we prove the following:

Lemma 3: The solution of problem (I) satisfies the equalities

$$(\alpha,\alpha) \quad X'_\alpha AX_\alpha + X'_\alpha F_{x\alpha} = 0_{\kappa\kappa},$$

$$(\alpha,\beta) \quad X'_\alpha AX_\beta - X'_\alpha H'_{x\beta} = 0_{\kappa l},$$

$$(\alpha,\gamma) \quad X'_\alpha AX_\gamma = 0_{\kappa m},$$

$$(\beta,\alpha) \quad H_\beta \Lambda_\alpha + X'_\beta AX_\alpha + X'_\beta F_{x\alpha} = 0_{l\kappa},$$

$$(\beta,\beta) \quad H_\beta \Lambda_\beta + X'_\beta AX_\beta - X'_\beta H_{x\beta} = 0_{ll},$$

$$(\beta,\gamma) \quad H_\beta \Lambda_\gamma + X'_\beta AX_\gamma = 0_{lm},$$

$$(\gamma,\alpha) \quad \Lambda_\alpha = X'_\gamma AX_\alpha + X'_\gamma F_{x\alpha},$$

$$(\gamma,\beta) \quad \Lambda_\beta = X'_\gamma AX_\beta - X'_\gamma H_{x\beta},$$

$$(\gamma,\gamma) \quad \Lambda_\gamma = X'_\gamma AX_\gamma,$$

Theorems 1, 2 and *Lemma 3* show how optimality and feasibility properties of $x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are combined in $(\varphi_\alpha, \varphi_\beta, \varphi_\gamma)'$ and Φ . This matrix can be expressed wholly in terms of X_α, X_β and X_γ , if we so wish.

We come finally to $g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and its first-order derivatives in (14). The proof of **Theorem 4** follows from the differentiation of (14) with respect to α, β , or γ and the use of *Lemma 2*. Thus e.g.

$$G_{\alpha\alpha} = \Phi_{\alpha\alpha} - Y'_\alpha F_{xx} Y_\alpha - Y'_\alpha F_{x\alpha} - [y'_{\alpha_i \alpha_j} f_x] - F_{\alpha x} Y_\alpha - F_{\alpha\alpha}$$

If we add the corresponding term in *Lemma 2*, we get

$$G_{\alpha\alpha} = \Phi_{\alpha\alpha} - Y_{\alpha}' A Y_{\alpha} - Y_{\alpha}' F_{x\alpha} - [y'_{\alpha_i \alpha_j} (f_x - H_x \lambda)] - F_{\alpha x} Y_{\alpha} - F_{\alpha\alpha}$$

and thus at $(\alpha^o, \beta^o, \gamma^o)$, where $y(\alpha^o, \beta^o, \gamma^o) = x(\alpha^o, \beta^o, \gamma^o)$, the matrix in brackets drops out and we have

$$G_{\alpha\alpha}^o = F_{\alpha x}^o \{ X_a^o - Y_a^o \} - Y_{\alpha}^{o'} A^o Y_a^o - Y_a^{o'} F_{x\alpha}^o .$$

The same process is used in deriving all the terms of G^o .

Our proof of *Theorem 4* shows clearly why the second-order partial derivatives of $f(y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), \alpha)$ are expressed solely in terms of Y_{α} , Y_{β} , or Y_{γ} , at $(\alpha^o, \beta^o, \gamma^o)$ and only there.